Structural phase transition in carbon nanotube bundles under pressure
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The Raman shifts as functions of pressure were measured for the radial breathing mode and tangential modes in carbon nanoropes containing (10,10) and (17,0) single-walled tubes. An abrupt decrease in the rate of change of the Raman shift with pressure for the tangential modes, and the disappearance of the radial breathing mode, were observed at approximately 1.7 GPa. These changes in the Raman spectrum result from a structural phase transition as the nanoropes are compressed. Theoretical calculations using the empirical force method confirm this transition, as indicated by a calculated discontinuity in the lattice constants and unit cell volume at 1.7 GPa.

INTRODUCTION

While single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) were discovered relatively recently,1 their electronic2–5 and mechanical6–10 properties have made them targets of extensive study. Vibrational spectroscopy, and Raman spectroscopy in particular, has proved to be a useful tool in examining these structures.9,11–20 and theoretical work2,7,8,21–27 has produced models to explore the vibrations of the tubes for various structures as well as the interactions between the tubes. As an experimental probe of the tube interactions, Raman spectroscopy at high pressure is a particularly fruitful technique.12 In this paper, we present a high-pressure Raman study of single-walled carbon nanotube bundles which indicates that the morphology of the tube bundles undergoes a structural phase transition at approximately 1.7 GPa. We also present theoretical calculations confirming the existence of this transition, and the magnitude of the transition pressure.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The SWNT sample was created by laser ablation using a Nd:YAG pulsed laser operating at 110 mJ/pulse at a wavelength of 10 640 Å. The furnace temperature was 1150 °C and the argon flow rate was 140 SCCM. The target was composed of 99.4% carbon, 0.3% cobalt, and 0.3% nickel. Using SEM and TEM, the estimated purity of the resulting SWNT sample was found to be 60–70%. The remaining amount of sample was comprised of the Co/Ni catalysts as well as carbon in the forms of nanoparticles, amorphous carbon, and graphite. Details of the fabrication have been published elsewhere.28

The pressure measurements were made using a Merrill-Bassett diamond-anvil cell. The sample chamber was created by a hole approximately 0.25 mm in diameter, cut in a 0.1 mm thick gasket made of Inconel. This chamber was centered between two ½ carat diamonds. The pressure exerted was hydrostatic, achieved with the use of a pressure transmitting medium of 4:1 methanol to ethanol. Measurement of pressure in the cell was made using ruby fluorescence.29

The Raman spectra were measured using a micro-Raman illuminator attached to a Dilor XY triple spectrometer. The laser source used was a Spectra Physics 2017 argon ion laser set to the 5145 Å line with an average power of 60 mW on the upper diamond. The microscope focused the incident beam to a spot size of 50 μm and the backscattered light was collected 180° from the direction of incidence. The resolution of the spectrometer is 0.5 cm⁻¹. A CCD camera cooled with liquid nitrogen collected the data, which was then analyzed using a least-squares fit to a sum of Lorentzians.

RESULTS

A. Identification of modes

Though many more Raman modes are present in the full spectrum of SWNTs, this research focused on modes in two primary ranges: a low energy range between 150–200 cm⁻¹ and a high energy range between 1500–1650 cm⁻¹. The lower energy range contains the radial breathing mode; the higher energy range contains the modes associated with the graphitelike characteristics of the SWNTs. In the next two sections of this paper we use Raman measurements of the radial breathing mode and x-ray diffraction of the tube bundles to identify tubes in our sample. We then use these tubes to assign the modes measured in the higher energy range, comparing calculated to measured frequencies. In both cases, we include a discussion of the resonance effects in SWNTs.22

1. Breathing mode

The breathing mode of a SWNT is a purely radial vibration with all atoms moving perpendicular to the length of the tube as seen in inset of Fig. 1. A typical Raman spectrum of the breathing mode of our SWNT sample is shown. Only one mode centered at 181±3 cm⁻¹ is measured. As has been reported,22,30 the frequency of the breathing mode is sensitive to the diameter of the tube. For example, the difference in the breathing mode frequencies of the (10,10) and (11,11) tubes...
with diameters of 13.4 and 14.9 Å, respectively, is 17 cm\(^{-1}\) while there is no measurable difference between the breathing mode frequencies of the (10,10) and (17,0) tubes with diameters of 13.4 and 13.2 Å, respectively.\(^{30}\) Thus, while multiple breathing modes found in a Raman spectrum indicate that tubes of differing diameters exist in the sample, the presence of a single mode does not necessarily indicate that the sample consists of one type of tube.

Resonance effects seen in SWNTs (Ref. 22) further complicate the interpretation of the Raman spectrum. The intensities of modes are dependent upon the excitation wavelength. This effect is discussed extensively by Rao \textit{et al.}\(^{20}\) who show that when the excitation wavelength is changed, the intensities can change so dramatically that prominent modes may disappear and modes that were absent may appear. This effect is seen throughout the spectrum. Therefore, even though a sample contains tubes of differing diameters, modes arising from some of the tubes may not be observed.

Our spectra were taken with an excitation wavelength of 5145 Å. Focusing on the low energy range and the radial breathing mode, we measured one mode at 181±3 cm\(^{-1}\). This is in good agreement with other experimental work\(^{20}\) in which only one mode was also measured using the same excitation. Implications of the resonance effect on the modes found in the high energy region will be discussed in the following section.

X-ray analysis on the sample revealed that the average diameter of the tubes in the sample is 13.5 Å. The x-ray spectrum was modeled with (10,10) tubes of differing bundle sizes. The width of the x-ray diffraction peak is greater than that of the simulated spectrum with just (10,10) tubes, indicating that more than one kind of tube exists in the sample. Using the Scherrer formula, the coherence length was found to be 80 Å. This indicates that the bundle sizes in the sample range from 80 to 200 Å. Because of the circular electron distribution in the tube cross section, x-ray analysis cannot distinguish chirality, but sorts only by tube diameter. Using the expression relating values of \(n\) and \(m\) to tube diameter,\(^{31}\) an average tube diameter of 13.5 Å was found to match (10,10) armchair tubes and (17,0) zigzag tubes.

Calculations of the radial breathing mode in SWNTs were made by Kurti \textit{et al.}\(^{30}\) using local density approximation theory. Breathing modes of both armchair \((n,n)\) and zigzag \((n,0)\) tubes were calculated for a variety of values of \(n\). These calculations were made considering isolated tubes that were infinitely long. The frequencies for the breathing mode calculated for the (10,10) and (17,0) tubes are similar: 175 cm\(^{-1}\) for the (10,10) tube, 178 cm\(^{-1}\) for the (17,0) tube. Calculations of the difference in mode frequency in isolated tubes vs bundles\(^{31}\) show that the frequency of the radial breathing mode in a (10,10) tube increases by approximately 6 cm\(^{-1}\) when the tubes present are in bundles. Assuming the difference would be the same for the (17,0) zigzag tube, this would place the frequencies calculated using the LDA theory at 181 and 183 cm\(^{-1}\) for the (10,10) and (17,0) tubes, respectively. Both of these frequencies are consistent with the breathing mode frequency we measured, 181±3 cm\(^{-1}\). Again, it is noted that the resonance effects are also involved in any attempt to identify the tubes present in the sample.\(^{20}\)

However, using the information given above, other experimental data taken at the same excitation wavelength, and x-ray diffraction analysis, we conclude in this paper that we have at minimum (10,10) armchair tubes and (17,0) zigzag tubes.

2. Graphitelike modes

We now move to identify the modes in the higher energy range. Raman measurements show modes between 1500 and 1650 cm\(^{-1}\). The highest energy mode in graphite occurs in this range, at 1582 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{31}\) Because the tubes are essentially graphene sheets rolled into cylinders, the modes in this higher-energy region are referred to as graphitelike modes. Three peaks (labeled GM1, GM2, and GM3) were measured in our spectra as can be seen in Fig. 2. These are consistent with those seen by Rao \textit{et al.}\(^{20}\) and Venkateswaran \textit{et al.}\(^{12}\).

Calculations of Raman and IR active modes for many isolated tubes with a range of \(n\) and \(m\) values were made by Kahn and Lu\(^{21}\) using tight-binding methods. The actual values for frequencies using tight-binding methods are difficult to determine because the method overestimates the energies by approximately 6%. However, the spacings between the modes and the assignments of symmetry to frequency are well determined. To relate our measured data to the calculated values of frequencies, we scaled the calculated data, equating the highest frequencies in the measured and calculated data. Thus the highest calculated frequency was scaled to 159 cm\(^{-1}\), and the same scaling factor was then applied to the other calculated frequencies. Table I presents the scaled calculated frequencies for both the (10,10) and (17,0) tubes and the measured frequencies at 0.25 GPa, which are in good

---

FIG. 1. Raman spectrum of radial breathing mode fit with a Lorentzian function. Inset: Molecular dynamic simulation of carbon atoms in (10,10) tube undergoing radial breathing mode vibration.

FIG. 2. Raman spectrum of graphitelike modes indicating the three individual modes labeled: GM1, GM2, GM3.
agreement with other experimental results reported.\textsuperscript{12} Data taken at 0.25 GPa were used because the GM3 peak could not be resolved at atmospheric pressure.

Once the highest measured mode (GM1) was identified as the $E_{2g}$ mode of the $(10,10)$ tubes and the rest of the calculated frequencies were scaled accordingly, the lowest measured mode (GM3) could be identified as the $E_{2g}$ mode of the $(17,0)$ tubes. The agreement of the scaled calculated frequency with the measured frequency for this mode is excellent. The GM2 peak is a weighted combination of the $A_{1g}$ and $E_{1g}$ modes of the two tubes. As discussed earlier in this section, the relative intensities of the modes is dependent on a resonance effect. This, in conjunction with the relative abundance of the two kinds of tubes and polarization effects,\textsuperscript{22} will affect the weighting of the modes involved in peak GM2. These symmetry identifications of the measured frequencies are consistent with shifts in the frequencies observed as pressure is applied to the tubes. These results are presented in the next section.

TABLE I. Measured and calculated Raman frequencies of graphitelike modes. Calculated values were scaled so the highest calculated frequency is equal to the highest measured frequency. Symmetry assignments and $(n,m)$ values of tubes were determined by calculations using tight-binding methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak labels</th>
<th>Measured frequencies (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Scaled calculated frequencies (cm$^{-1}$), mode assignments, and tubes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM1</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>1591 $E_{2g}$ (10,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1577 $E_{1g}$ (17,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1571 $A_{1g}$ (10,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM2</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>1566 $E_{1g}$ (10,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1565 $A_{1g}$ (17,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM3</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1551 $E_{2g}$ (17,0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Pressure results

Raman measurements at high pressure were made in the range from atmospheric to 5.3 GPa. While similar experiments have been performed,\textsuperscript{12} our research has revealed a structural phase transition at approximately 1.7 GPa that has not been previously observed. The effect of the pressure on the breathing mode and graphitelike modes are discussed separately.

1. Breathing mode

Figure 3 shows the Raman shift of the radial breathing mode at atmospheric pressure and 1.5 GPa. Rayleigh scattered light from the diamonds, coupled with a small sample volume, make signal detection of the low-frequency modes difficult at high pressures. To eliminate spurious signals due to ambient nitrogen, measurements in this frequency region were taken with the cell in a He atmosphere.

We measured the shift of the frequency as a function of pressure to be $10.1 \pm 1.2$ cm$^{-1}$/GPa; see Fig. 4. This shift was calculated for low pressures (less than 0.5 GPa) by Kahn and Lu.\textsuperscript{21} These calculations show a rate of change in frequency with applied pressure of $12.0$ cm$^{-1}$/GPa, in good agreement with the experimental results.

Raman measurements of the radial breathing mode for pressures above approximately 1.7 GPa were difficult to make. This difficulty was due to deteriorating signal from this mode as a result of a structural change that will be explained later in this paper.

2. Graphitelike modes

As with the radial breathing mode, the graphitelike modes also shift to higher frequency with increasing pressure. See Fig. 5. The data for the higher-energy graphitelike modes are
FIG. 6. Raman shift vs pressure for graphitelike modes: GM1 (diamond), GM2 (square), and GM3 (circle). Open symbols indicate data points taken as pressure is increased. Closed symbols indicate data points taken as pressure is reduced.

FIG. 7. Raman shift vs spacing between tubes for (a) the radial breathing mode and (b) the graphitelike modes (GM1=diamond; GM2=square; GM3=circle). Smaller spacing indicates higher pressure.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

This study of single-wall nanotubes under pressure has examined the tube-tube interactions in bundles containing (10,10) armchair and (17,0) zigzag tubes, as manifested in the Raman-active vibrational modes. A discontinuity was measured in the Raman shift vs pressure for the higher-frequency graphitelike modes. In the low-pressure region, all the measured modes respond to pressure at the same rate of change, approximately 10±1 cm⁻¹/GPa. As noted earlier, this is approximately twice that found in bulk graphite. Because the intensity of the radial breathing mode decreases substantially as the tubes are forced closer together, it was not observed in the high-pressure region. However, the positions of the three other Raman peaks, in the higher-energy range, do not continue to change at this same rate at the highest pressures. Instead, two of the peaks, GM1 and GM2, show a change in frequency with pressure comparable to that measured in bulk graphite, while GM3 exhibits very little change in Raman shift with increasing pressure.

The pressure dependence of these modes is consistent with the identification of the symmetries corresponding to the peaks. The highest-frequency mode for each type of tube is the one for which the atoms move circumferentially. For the armchair tube (10,10), this mode has $E_{2g}$ symmetry; for the zigzag tube (17,0), this mode has $E_{1g}$ symmetry. The lowest-frequency mode in each case is the one in which the atoms move along the longitudinal axis of the tube. Because this is approximately twice that found in bulk graphite. Because the intensity of the radial breathing mode decreases substantially as the tubes are forced closer together, it was not observed in the high-pressure region. However, the positions of the three other Raman peaks, in the higher-energy range, do not continue to change at this same rate at the highest pressures. Instead, two of the peaks, GM1 and GM2, show a change in frequency with pressure comparable to that measured in bulk graphite, while GM3 exhibits very little change in Raman shift with increasing pressure.

**TABLE II. Rates of change of Raman shift as a function of spacing between tubes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Slope in low pressure region (cm⁻¹/Å)</th>
<th>Slope in high pressure region (cm⁻¹/Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM1</td>
<td>16.3±0.2</td>
<td>26.7±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM2</td>
<td>16.6±0.2</td>
<td>29.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM3</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>16.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
structural phase transition occurs. The transition is a first-order one because we have carried out a series of molecular dynamic simulations. Because of the large size of the unit cell and the large configuration space, such calculations are very costly using either *ab initio* or tight-binding total-energy calculations. We have used the universal force field (UFF) of Rappe et al. via the CERIUS2 molecular modeling software to calculate the lattice constants of the nanotube bundle as a function of pressure. This model uses classical two-, three-, and four-body potentials to compute the interaction energy of atoms in a molecule or between atoms of different molecules (i.e., bonded and nonbonded interactions, respectively). Specifically, it contains terms for bond stretching, bond-angle bending, dihedral-angle torsion, and also electrostatic and van der Waals interactions. These last two interactions only apply to atoms not bonded to each other and not connected via a single common atom.

In Fig. 8 we show the equilibrium lattice constants of a (10,10) nanotube bundle as functions of pressure. The atomic positions and lattice constants are relaxed to minimize the total energy at each pressure as computed by the UFF method. Due to the incompatibility of the structure of the (10,10) tube with hexagonal symmetry, there is a small difference in the two in-plane lattice constants. Thus, the symmetry of the nanorope is monoclinic. As the pressure increases, this difference between the two lattice constants increases. As expected, the unit cell volume decreases continuously with pressure. However, at a pressure of 1.75 GPa, the lattice structure undergoes a sudden transition. This can be clearly seen in both the lattice constants and the unit cell volume. To examine the transition, we show the unit cell structures just before and just after the transition. See insert in Fig. 8. The nanotube morphology after the transition is deformed to a more oval shape while that before the transition has a more circular cross section. Thus, in the high pressure region a larger portion of the walls of adjacent tubes are approximately parallel, so the interaction between the tubes more closely resembles that of the interlayer interaction in graphite. It is then expected that the pressure dependence in the high-pressure region should resemble that found in bulk graphite more closely than the dependence seen in the low-pressure region. The fact that our experimental data show a clear kink around 1.7 GPa, lets us conclude that the kink is the first evidence that the nanotubes undergo a structural phase transition around this pressure.

Intuitively the structural transition can be understood as follows. At ambient pressure the lattice constants are such that the shortest distance between C atoms on neighboring tubes is close to the van der Waals distance (3.4 Å). As the pressure increases the intertube distance shrinks while the tube geometry remains relatively intact. This is because the intertube van der Waals force is much smaller than the elastic force needed to distort the nanotube geometry. As the pressure increases the intertube distance hits the hard repulsive wall of the van der Waals interaction. Then it becomes energetically favorable to distort the nanotube geometry to reduce the intertube empty space to accommodate the pressure, rather than to simple continue to decrease the intertube distance. Since the lowest instability mode of nanotube distortion has a twofold symmetry, the natural shape of a distorted nanotube is oval rather than the hexagonal shape one might expect. The oval nanotube shape leads to a different symmetry for nanoropes at high pressure. Thus, a structural transition occurs. The transition is a first-order one because there are discontinuities in both the lattice constants and the cohesive energy. The oval shape of the nanotubes also explains the fact that the breathing mode becomes unobservable at high pressure because it is no longer an eigenmode.

In conclusion, we have measured the frequency of the radial breathing modes and several of the graphitelike higher-frequency modes in the Raman spectrum of (10,10) and (17,0) carbon nanotubes as a function of applied pressure. We find that the response to pressure divides into two regions. For pressures below approximately 1.7 GPa, all of the measured modes shift to higher frequencies as the pressure is increased, and the slope of frequency vs pressure is the same for all modes. The measured slope is in good agreement with the value calculated using tight-binding methods. Above 1.7 GPa the breathing mode becomes unobservable, and the slope of frequency vs pressure for the graphitelike...
modes decreases abruptly. For the two higher-frequency graphitelike modes, the value of the slope in this region is very similar to that found in corresponding modes in bulk graphite. The lowest-frequency mode of this group is essentially insensitive to pressure in this region. This difference in the response to pressure is explained by noting that the lowest-frequency mode involves only atomic motion parallel to the axis of the tube, which leads to a reduced sensitivity to the proximity of adjacent tubes. This is in contrast to the two upper modes, which involve circumferential atomic motion and thus a greater sensitivity to tube spacing.

We have analyzed the abrupt change in the slope of frequency vs pressure observed in these high-pressure Raman spectroscopy measurements by means of molecular dynamics simulations, which show a structural phase transition at 1.7 GPa. In this transition the lattice constants and the unit cell volume change abruptly as the nanotubes deform from a circular to an oval cross section.
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